

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Urademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Vaginia 22313-1450 www.tspto.goj

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,346	12/31/2003	Gil I. Nadel	5760-14700	9474	
35690 7590 02/15/2007 MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C.			EXAMINER		
700 LAVACA	, SUITE 800	WERT & GOISTZEL, T.C.	ROSE, HELENE ROBERTA		
AUSTIN, TX	78701		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2163		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
. Advisory Action	10/750,346	NADEL ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Helene Rose	2163					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
extensions of little thap be obtained under 37 CFR 1.130(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 1/22/2007. A brief in c date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements.	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismis:	sal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the</li> </ul>						
non-allowable claim(s).							
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A began and the date of filling a Al						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11.   ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13.							
·							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive. Therefore, the rejection is maintained as set forth on the Final Office Action mailed out on 9/14/2006.

Applicant argues that prior art fails to teach or suggest a method comprising "tuning the problematic database object to improve performance access to the stored access data in the database server computer system".

Column 15, lines 42-43, wherein the monitor server receives notifications from the database through a communication channel, and wherein the notifications are interpreted to be equivalent to "reports and alerts that may identify one or more problematic database objects" that is defined within applicants specification located in paragraph [0039]. In which the database sends the notifications to the monitor server, which is interpreted to be equivalent to "tuning the problematic database objects".

Column 16, lines 8-10, wherein high efficiency is achieved by the method of invention wherein in part by minimizing system overhead, wherein "high efficiency" is interpreted to be equivalent to "high performance or improving performance", and wherein minimizing system overhead which is interpreted to be "tuning the problematic database object", wherein "overhead is interpreted to be the "use of computer resources for performing a specific feature, wherein for example while maintaining an audit trail might result in 10% overhead, meaning that the program will run 10% slower when the audit trail is turned on, which is equivalent to "performance problems", as defined in applicant specification, located in paragraph [0038].

Column 9, lines 25-44, wherein a partial view materialization path for a given attribute is created in advance of any request to monitor that attribute, wherein this is done during a "Create View", wherein the Create View procedure is a relatively high-overhead task which is ordinarily performed during creation of the database or if necessary at other times, preferably when the system is not otherwise busy, wherein the Create View procedure is begun by a user who instructs the system to create a view of an object and the system creates and optimizes a partial view materialization path for each attribute of the view and after completing any other tasks associated with creating the view the procedure ends, in which the partial path for a given attribute constitutes a minimum number of steps needed to access only that one attribute without materializing the entire view, and as defined in applicant specification in paragraph [0042], wherein tuning the problematic database may include "creating a new access path may include creating an index, partitioning a table, rebuilding a table" as defined in applicant specification in paragraph [0042].

Column 18, lines 57-62, wherein the view object concept data are stored persistently in a back end relational database system and so forth; and Column 20, lines 25-34, wherein client programs participate actively in the monitoring by issuing monitor requests that specify one or more of the four tuning parameters: change value, tracking delay time, synchronous initiation and nervousness, wherein the tuning makes it possible for the client to interact with the database only when necessary, and not less often than necessary, and wherein a large number of clients, located in different physical places and running on different computers, can access a very large database in a highly efficient manner, which is also interpreted to be equivalent to "tuning the problematic database object to improve performance access to the stored access data in the database server computer system", wherein once again the monitor server receives notifications from the database through a communication channel, and wherein notifications are interpreted to be equivalent to "reports and alerts that may identify one or more problematic database objects that is defined in applicants specification located in paragraph [0039], and where "problematic database objects can include according to applicants specification located in paragraph [0042], to be "creating a new access path may include creating an index, partitioning a table, rebuilding a table, and etc, which is interpreted to be equivalent to the "partial view materialization path" defined within column 9, lines 25-44 above, and wherein database objects according to applicant specification located in paragraph [0026], may comprise tables, indices, and other aggregation of data, which is interpreted to be equivalent to column 16, lines 44-53 and column 17, lines 1-20, which defines schema for parts database, which is interpreted to be the "table".

DON WONG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100